

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. 2:19-CV-00247 JAM-DB
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 725	)	
MAIN STREET, MARTINEZ,	)	<u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u>
CALIFORNIA, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,	)	
APN: 373-192-007-4, INCLUDING	)	
ALL APPURTENANCES AND	)	
IMPROVEMENTS THERETO, ET AL.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No.: 2:20-CR-00003 KJM
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
RYAN GUIDRY,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	)	Case No. 2:19-CV-02140 JAM-DB
COMMISSION,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
JOSEPH BAYLISS and RONALD ROACH,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

1	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	)	Case No. 2:19-CV-02531 JAM-DB
2	COMMISSION,	)	
3	Plaintiff,	)	
4	v.	)	
5	ROBERT A. KARMANN,	)	
6	Defendant.	)	
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. 2:19-CV-00485 JAM-DB
8	Plaintiff,	)	
9	v.	)	
10	APPROXIMATELY \$6,567,897.50	)	
11	SEIZED FROM CTBC BANK, ACCOUNT	)	
12	NUMBER 3800191916, ET AL.,	)	
13	Defendants.	)	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. 2:19-CV-00636 JAM-DB
15	Plaintiff,	)	
16	v.	)	
17	5383 STONEHURST DRIVE, MARTINEZ,	)	
18	CALIFORNIA, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,	)	
19	APN: 367-230-018-7, INCLUDING	)	
20	ALL APPURTENANCES AND	)	
21	IMPROVEMENTS THERETO, ET AL.,	)	
22	Defendants.	)	
23	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No.: 2:19-CR-00182 JAM
24	Plaintiff,	)	
25	v.	)	
26	RONALD J. ROACH and JOSEPH W.	)	
27	BAYLISS,	)	
28	Defendants.	)	
29	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No.: 2:19-CR-00222 JAM
30	Plaintiff,	)	
31	v.	)	
32	ROBERT A. KARMANN,	)	
33	Defendant.	)	

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these

1 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.  
2 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same  
3 judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial  
4 savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for  
5 the parties.

6 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under  
7 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are  
8 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation  
9 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this  
10 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and  
11 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

12 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated  
13 2:20-CR-00003 KJM be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez for all  
14 further proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned  
15 case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents  
16 filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:20-CR-00003 JAM.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make  
18 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of criminal cases to  
19 compensate for this reassignment.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: January 9, 2020

22 /s/ John A. Mendez

23 United States District Court Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28